

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/780,098	02/17/2004	David J. Allard	BOC9-2003-0087 (458)	9891
40987 AKERMAN S	7590 06/25/200 ENTERFITT	EXAMINER		
P. O. BOX 31		RANGREJ, SHEETAL		
WEST PALM	BEACH, FL 33402-318	38	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/780,098	ALLARD ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
SHEETAL R. RANGREJ	3626	

	SHEETAL R. RANGREJ	3626						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 06 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.						
1. So The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing	date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp.	liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be	Flad within two months	of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t They raise new issues that would require further core They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowing) 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);						
 They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 	ter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying ti	ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.116	21 See attached Notice of Non Co.	mpliant Amandment /	DTOL 224)					
		ripliant Amendment (F TOL-324).					
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 								
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-19.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☒ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
/C Luke Gilligan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3626								

Continuation of 13. Other: The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Snowthen fails to teach controlling access using a not-based accest notinol list as in the present invention. Examiner disagrees. Showden teaches that a consumer could pre-authorize that select medical information is available; therefore a consumer can pre-authorize a pharmacist to only view medication list. Since the Arter Final has note been entered, the remarks/arguments with respect to these changes are currently moot. In response to the remarks/arguments, the Examiner incorporates the response to the remarks/arguments presented in the previous Office Action, mailed 04/03/2008.